

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

State of California)
County of San Joaquin) ss

HERTHA C. COLLIER, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That I as the plaintiff named in the foregoing complaint; that I have read the same and know the contents thereof and that the same is true of my own knowledge, except as to those statements therein made on information and belief, and as to those statements I believe it to be true.

Hertha C. Collier
HERTHA C. COLLIER

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 10th day of October, 1938.

J. Douglas
Notary Public, San Joaquin County, California.

By _____
A. D. 19____

By *J. B. Chapman*
Deputy Clerk
Attorney for Plaintiff

Sherriff _____
Sherriff _____
_____ in the
with defendant
_____ writing to and

74
11/11
1938

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, IN AND FOR
2 THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN.

3 -----
4 BERTHA C. MILLER,
5 Plaintiff,

6 -vs-
7 GEORGE W. MILLER,
8 Defendant.

9 -----
10 COMPLAINT FOR DIVORCE
11 -----

12 Plaintiff complains of defendant and for cause of
13 action against him, alleges:

14 1

15 That the plaintiff and defendant intermarried at the City
16 and County of San Francisco, California, on the 4th day of
17 December, 1928.

18 That the date of the separation was July 1, 1936.

19 That there is no children of the marriage.

20 That the time elapsing from the marriage to the separation
21 was ^{NINE} ~~Eight~~ years, Six Months and Twenty Seven Days.

22 2

23 That the plaintiff and the defendant intermarried on the
24 4th day of December, 1928, and ever since have been and now
25 are, husband and wife.

26 3

27 That the plaintiff and defendant now are, and for more than
28 one year immediately last past prior to the filing of this
29 complaint have been residents of the County of San Joaquin,
30 State of California.

FOR THE COUNTY

1 That the defendant, disregarding the solemnity of his marriage
2 vows, has been guilty of extreme cruelty and wilful neglect
3 toward plaintiff, and for specific instances thereof, alleges
4 as follows;

5 That on or about the 18th day of June, 1937, defendant
6 induced the plaintiff to come to a ranch in the Mantena section
7 of the County of San Joaquin, State of California, and care
8 for three children of the defendant by a former marriage,
9 promising to provide her with sufficient money and conveniences
10 at all times and as soon as he had a few bills paid, to come
11 to her in Mantena, and plaintiff, depending on the representa-
12 tions of the defendant, did come to Mantena and did care for
13 said three children. That defendant did fail and omit to
14 send sufficient monies, or to provide plaintiff with convenien-
15 ces of life, or to come to Mantena, except a very infrequent
16 intervals, or to write to the plaintiff, and has stayed in
17 the City of San Francisco, and associates with other women.
18 That the plaintiff has been forced to raise chickens and farm
19 products upon which to live and feed the three children of
20 the defendant. That the defendant earns large wages monthly
21 in San Francisco where he has been staying, where he expends
22 such monies for his own pleasures, leaving the plaintiff to
23 attempt to make a living on a ranch as aforesaid for herself
24 and three children of the defendant. That defendant now
25 refuses to come to Mantena to reside, or to take the plaintiff
26 to San Joaquin Francisco to his abode, or to continue the
27 family relation of husband and wife. That in the year
28 1932 the defendant struck the plaintiff in the face, forcing her
29 to a cook stove, severely injuring the plaintiff. That the
30 defendant promised the plaintiff to care for her in every way,
31 to purchase a new stove, and to repair the farm home at Mantena,
32 and to make the place comfortable for the plaintiff and the

161
DARRAH & ELLIS
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA

1 defendant, all of which he has failed and refused, and still
2 does fail and refuse to make such home comfortable for the
3 plaintiff, or to furnish her with the necessaries of life,
4 although well able so to do.

5 That by virtue of the above acts of cruelty and neglect
6 of defendant toward plaintiff, which are only a few of the many
7 acts of cruelty and neglect of defendant toward plaintiff,
8 plaintiff has become sick, and worried until she fears it
9 dangerous to longer continue the marriage relation with the
10 defendant.

11
12
13
14
15
16 WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays judgment against the
17 defendant:- For a decree of divorce, severing the bonds of mat-
18 rimony now existing between the plaintiff and the defendant.
19 That plaintiff take her maiden name of Denk. For her costs
20 of suit herein incurred, and for such other and further relief
21 as may be meet in the premises.

22
23 *E. E. Douglas*
24 Attorney for plaintiff,
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, IN

2 AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

DEC 21 1939

Filed 19

EUGENE D. GRAHAM

CLERK

3 BERTHA C. TELLER,

4 Plaintiff

No. 8218

E. D. Graham
DEPUTY

5 VS

FINAL DECREE OF DIVORCE

6 GEORGE W. TELLER,

7 Defendant

8 WHEREAS, on the 14th day of December, 1938, this court made

9 its interlocutory judgment and decree finding that the Plaintiff
10 BERTHA C. TELLER ought to be granted a divorce from the Defendant
11 GEORGE W. TELLER; and whereas said interlocutory judgment was
12 entered in this cause on the 14th day of December, 1938, and
13 recorded in Judgment Book 23 of said court, at page 348.

14 NOW, one year having expired since the entry of said inter-
15 locutory judgment, and the motion of E. E. DOUGLASS, ESQ.,
16 attorney for the Plaintiff for final judgment herein coming on
17 regularly for hearing, and it appearing to the court and the
18 court finding that the recitals hereinbefore contained are true,
19 and that there is no reason why said interlocutory judgment should
20 not become final;

21 AND NOW, all and singular the law and the premises being by
22 the court understood and fully considered;

23 WHEREFORE, it is ordered Adjudged, and Decreed and this court
24 does hereby order, adjudge, and decree that the marriage between
25 said Plaintiff BERTHA C. TELLER and the said Defendant GEORGE W.
26 TELLER be dissolved, and the same is hereby dissolved upon
27 the grounds of Extreme Cruelty,

28
29
30
31
32
E. E. DOUGLASS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
MANTENA, CALIFORNIA

24-618 ✓

Deputy Clerk
M. Clark
19 39
Self Superior Court
If in suit action
Page 398
not entered in the
as-official Clerk

for the

1 and the said parties are, and each of them is, freed and absol-
2 utely released from the bonds of matrimony, and all the oblig-
3 ations thereof, and restored to the status of single persons.
4
5
6

7 Done in open Court this 21st day of Decr. 1939.
8
9

10 D. M. Yornigi
11 Judge of Superior Court.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

By Eugene D. Graham
Deputy Clerk
EUGENE D. GRAHAM, CIVIL
DEPUTY CLERK